| Interview Summary  | Application No. Applicant(s)                                   |  |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  | 09/425,436   | 09/425,436 Cappadona   |   |  |
|  | Examiner   | <del>!</del>   | Group Art Unit  | <u> </u>                               |
|  | Drew Beck  | er<br>   | 1761  | ······································ |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |  |  |   |  |
| (1) Drew Becker  | (3)  |  |   |  |
| (2) Joe Shipley  | (4)  |  |   |  |
| Date of Interview May 22, 2001   | _  |  |   |  |
| Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant   | 2) 🛛 applicant's rep   | oresentative   | e]  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: dix Yes intules cooper   | No. If yes, bri  | ,  | on:   |  |
| i  | · (Convention  | <u> </u>   |   |  |
| Claim(s) discussed:  |  |  |   |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed:   |  |  |   |  |
| world be a new issue. The methyd steps to claim 7 to "Waterless gooding" in claim I rejected under Samer. Justice  | dments which the exacopy of the amendment                      | method for the second s | ould render the clai                                  | ms allowable is                        |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record | IAL WRITTEN REPLY<br>PEP section 713.04).<br>OM THIS INTERVIEW | TO THE LA<br>If a reply to<br>DATE TO F  | ST OFFICE ACTION the last Office actifice A STATEMENT | N MUST<br>tion has<br>T OF THE         |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action  |  |  |   |  |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Part of Paper No. 12